



Here's a teaser from this [Client Update](#): "The Supreme Court of the United States has overruled *Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.* For 40 years, if an agency was interpreting an "ambiguous" provision of a statute it administers, the agency's interpretation need not be the *best* or *most* reasonable interpretation. Agencies were free to change their minds, and in some instances, important questions of public policy would swing back and forth with changing presidential administrations.

After *Loper Bright Enterprises, et. al. v. Raimondo, et. al.*, deference to merely reasonable agency interpretations is over, as is agency flip-flopping rooted in "*Chevron* deference." The long-term result will be to empower courts and regulatory challengers at the expense of executive branch agencies, and the change may be significant in

some places."

Explore more in

[Corporate Law](#)

Blog series

Public Chatter

Public Chatter provides practical guidance—and the latest developments—to those grappling with public company securities law and corporate governance issues, through content developed from an in-house perspective.

[Subscribe ?](#)

[Visit Public Chatter Resources for Guides, Quick Alerts and Programs](#)

[View the blog](#)