



In a commentary for Bloomberg Law, Rachel Mechanic and Daniel Zinman explain how FINRA's in-house tribunal system is defending constitutional challenges and may also have to navigate repercussions from a Supreme Court securities case.

When the US Supreme Court heard [arguments](#) in *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jarkesy* last month, the justices' questions suggested the court would likely declare the SEC's in-house tribunal at least partially unconstitutional.

[Read the full article in Bloomberg Law](#)

Authors



Rachel S. Mechanic

Partner

RMechanic@perkinscoie.com [212.261.6811](tel:212.261.6811)



Daniel C. Zinman

Partner

DZinman@perkinscoie.com [212.261.6856](tel:212.261.6856)

Explore more in

[Business Litigation](#) [White Collar & Investigations](#) [Securities Litigation](#) [Financial Services & Investments](#)