

Perkins Coie Lawyers Mentioned in Multiple Publications Regarding Pro Bono Representation of a Client in the U.S. Supreme Court Case *Smith v. Arizona*

Perkins Coie lawyers were mentioned in multiple media publications regarding the firm's pro bono representation of a client in the U.S. Supreme Court case *Smith v. Arizona* that raises an important issue under the Confrontation Clause of the Sixth Amendment regarding a criminal defendant's right to cross-examine a non-testifying forensic analyst.

01.10.2024 - *Law360* - "[Justices Toy With New Testimony Rule In Ariz. Expert Dispute](#)"

01.10.2024 - *The National Law Journal* - "[Justices Seem Skeptical of 'Substitute Expert' Used in Arizona Criminal Trial](#)"

01.10.2024 - *SCOTUS Blog* - "[Court appears to favor Arizona man's confrontation clause claim](#)"

Contact



[Hari Santhanam](#)

Partner

HSanthanam@perkinscoie.com [312.324.8447](tel:312.324.8447)



[Michael R. Huston](#)

Partner

MHuston@perkinscoie.com [202.434.1630](tel:202.434.1630)



Diane Johnsen

Senior Counsel

DJohnsen@perkinscoie.com [602.351.8074](tel:602.351.8074)



Jonathan Tietz

Associate

JTietz@perkinscoie.com [202.942.8667](tel:202.942.8667)



Victoria Romine

Associate

VRomine@perkinscoie.com [602.351.8071](tel:602.351.8071)

Explore more in

[Appeals, Issues & Strategy](#)